



**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

7. Plaintiff obtained his consumer credit reports from the three major credit reporting agencies and found entries by entities that he was unfamiliar with in the reports.
8. Plaintiff found after examination of his TransUnion consumer credit report that Defendant NCO had obtained Plaintiff's TransUnion consumer credit report on two different occasions in May 2008.
9. Discovery of violations brought forth herein occurred in May 2011 and are within the statute of limitations as defined in the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681p.

**COUNT I**

**VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. §1681  
WILLFUL NON-COMPLIANCE BY DEFENDANT  
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.**

10. Paragraphs 1 through 9 are re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.
11. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
12. TransUnion is a credit reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
13. Consumer credit report is a consumer report within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
14. The FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b defines the permissible purposes for which a person may obtain a consumer credit report.
15. Such permissible purposes as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b are generally, if the consumer makes application for credit, makes application for employment, for underwriting of

insurance involving the consumer, or is offered a bona fide offer of credit as a result of the inquiry.

16. Plaintiff has never had any business dealings or any accounts with, made application for credit from, made application for employment with, applied for insurance from, or received a bona fide offer of credit from the Defendant, NCO.
17. In May 2008 Defendant obtained the TransUnion consumer credit report for the Plaintiff with no permissible purpose in violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.
18. Plaintiff sent a notice to NCO of their violations of the FCRA in an effort to mitigate damages and reach a settlement for their violations in obtaining Plaintiff's credit report before taking civil action against them. Plaintiff engaged in settlement discussions with counsel representing NCO but failed to reach an acceptable agreement regarding damages to be paid to Plaintiff.
19. At no time did Plaintiff give his consent for NCO to acquire his consumer credit report from any credit reporting agency.
20. The actions of Defendant obtaining the consumer credit report of the Plaintiff with no permissible purpose or Plaintiff's consent was a willful violation of FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b and an egregious violation of Plaintiff's right to privacy.
21. The Defendant had a duty to properly ascertain if there was any **legitimate** permissible purpose before obtaining Plaintiff's credit report and Defendant breached said duty by failing to do so. There was no account that the Defendant had any right to collect to have had permissible purpose to obtain Plaintiff's credit report and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for damages against Defendant, NCO, for statutory damages of \$1000.00, any attorney's fees, and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

**COUNT II**

**VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. §1681  
WILLFUL NON-COMPLIANCE BY DEFENDANT  
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.**

22. Paragraphs 1 through 21 are re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.
23. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
24. TransUnion is a credit reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
25. Consumer credit report is a consumer report within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
26. The FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b defines the permissible purposes for which a person may obtain a consumer credit report.
27. Defendant obtained Plaintiff's TransUnion consumer credit report a second time in May 2008 with no permissible purpose in violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.
28. The Defendant had a duty to properly ascertain if there was any **legitimate** permissible purpose before obtaining Plaintiff's credit report and Defendant breached said duty by failing to do so. There was no account that the Defendant had any right to collect to have had permissible purpose to obtain Plaintiff's credit report and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for damages against Defendant, NCO for statutory damages of \$1000.00, any attorney's fees, and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable as a matter of law.

Dated: September 17, 2012

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David E. Mack', written over a horizontal line.

David E. Mack  
7720 McCallum Blvd. #2099  
Dallas, Texas 75252  
972-735-9642

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the document above was sent to the parties listed below by first class mail USPS.

Whitney L. White  
Sessions, Fishman, Nathan,  
& Israel, LLC  
900 Jackson Street, Suite 440  
Dallas, Texas 75202

Dated September 17, 2012

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David E. Mack", written over a horizontal line.

David E Mack